

# Towards Intuitionistic Polymodal Provability Logic

Mojtaba Mojtahedi,  
Fedor Pakhomov

Ghent University

September 2, 2024

- Language:  $\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \perp, \Box$ .
- $(\Box A)^*$  interpreted as “ $A^*$  is provable in PA”.
- Solovay:  $\text{GL} = \{A : \forall * (\text{PA} \vdash A^*)\}$ .
- All sound extensions of PA have the same provability logic.
- $\text{GL} :=$ 
  - Axioms of Classical Logic.
  - $\text{K} := \Box(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\Box A \rightarrow \Box B)$ .
  - $\text{Lob} := \Box(\Box A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow \Box A$ .

- $(\Box A)^*$  interpreted as “ $A^*$  is provable in HA”.
- $M. : \text{iGLH} = \{A : \forall * (\text{HA} \vdash A^*)\}$ .
- $\text{iGL} :=$ 
  - Axioms of Intuitionistic Logic.
  - $K := \Box(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (\Box A \rightarrow \Box B)$ .
  - $\text{Lob} := \Box(\Box A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow \Box A$ .
- $\text{iGLH} := \text{iGL}$  plus

$$H := \{\Box A \rightarrow \Box B : A \mid\sim B\}$$

- $\mid\sim$  is a selection of admissible rules of  $\text{iGL}$ .
- **Example:**  $(\neg A \rightarrow (B \vee C)) \mid\sim ((\neg A \rightarrow B) \vee (\neg A \rightarrow C))$
- **Example:**  $\neg\neg\Box A \mid\sim \Box A$ .

## Attention.

Not all sound extensions of HA share the same PL.

PA is an obvious counterexample.

# Extension by true $\Pi_n$ -sentences

- $\Pi_0 := \Sigma_0 := \Delta_0$ .
- $\Sigma_{n+1} := \exists\Pi_n := \{\exists x A : A \in \Pi_n\}$ .
- $\Pi_{n+1} := \forall\Sigma_n := \{\forall x A : A \in \Sigma_n\}$ .
  
- $\bar{\Pi}_0 := \bar{\Sigma}_0 := \Delta_0$ .
- $\bar{\Sigma}_{n+1} := \exists\bar{\Pi}_n := \{\exists x A : A \in \bar{\Pi}_n\}$ .
- $\bar{\Pi}_{n+1} := \forall(\bar{\Pi}_n \rightarrow \bar{\Sigma}_n) := \{\forall x(A \rightarrow B) : A \in \bar{\Pi}_n \ \& \ B \in \bar{\Sigma}_n\}$ .

## Definition.

- Let  $\text{HA}^n := \text{HA}$  plus all true  $\bar{\Pi}_n$ -sentences.
- Let  $\text{PA}^n := \text{PA}$  plus all true  $\Pi_n$ -sentences.

## Theorem (F. Pakhomov & M.)

- 1  $\text{HA}^n$  has Disjunction Property:  $\text{HA}^n \vdash A \vee B$  implies either  $\text{HA}^n \vdash A$  or  $\text{HA}^n \vdash B$ .
- 2  $\text{HA}^n$  has Numerical Existence Property:  $\text{HA}^n \vdash \exists x A(x)$  implies  $\exists k \in \omega$  such that  $\text{HA}^n \vdash A(k)$ .
- 3  $\text{HA}^n$  is  $\bar{\Sigma}_{n+1}^s$ -complete, i.e. for every true  $\bar{\Sigma}_{n+1}$ -sentence  $A$  we have  $\text{HA}^n \vdash A$ .
- 4  $\text{HA}^n$  is  $\text{Bool}(\bar{\Pi}_n)$ -decidable.
- 5  $\text{PA}^n \vdash A$  iff  $\text{HA}^n \vdash A^\neg$ .
- 6  $\text{HA}^n$  is closed under Markov Rule, i.e.  $\text{HA}^n \vdash \neg\neg A$  implies  $\text{HA}^n \vdash A$  for  $A \in \bar{\Sigma}_{n+1}$ .
- 7  $\text{PA}^n$  is  $\bar{\Pi}_{n+2}$ -conservative extension of  $\text{HA}^n$ .

Theorem (F. Pakhomov & M.)

$\text{HA}^n$  has the same provability logic of  $\text{HA}$ .

*Proof idea.* We first show that the  $\Sigma_{n+1}$ -PL of  $\text{HA}^n$  is same as  $\Sigma_1$ -PL of  $\text{HA}$ , say  $\text{iGLH}_\sigma$ . Then by the following result we are done:

$$\text{iGLH} \not\vdash A \Rightarrow \exists \theta \text{iGLH}_\sigma \not\vdash \theta(A) \Rightarrow \exists \sigma \text{HA}^n \not\vdash \sigma\theta(A)$$

Theorem (M. 2022)

$\text{iGLH}$  is the closure of  $\text{iGLH}_\sigma$  under substitutions.

$$\text{iGLH} \vdash A \quad \text{iff} \quad \forall \theta (\text{iGLH}_\sigma \vdash \theta(A))$$

Let  $(\Box A)^*$  interpreted as “ $A^*$  is provable in  $T$ ”.

$$\text{PL}(T, S) := \{A : \forall * S \vdash A^*\}$$

Observation.

$$\text{PL}(\text{PA}^n, \text{PA}) = \text{PL}(\text{PA}^n, \text{PA}^n) = \text{GL}.$$



Let  $(\Box A)^*$  interpreted as “ $A^*$  is provable in  $T$ ”.

$$\text{PL}(T, S) := \{A : \forall * S \vdash A^*\}$$

Observation.

$$\text{PL}(\text{PA}^n, \text{PA}) = \text{PL}(\text{PA}^n, \text{PA}^n) = \text{GL}.$$

Attention.

We only could prove  $\text{iGL} \subseteq \text{PL}(\text{HA}^n, \text{HA}) \subseteq \text{iGLH}$ .

Question.

What is  $\text{PL}(\text{HA}^n, \text{HA})$ ?

# $HA^{n+}$ : an extension of $HA^n$

Define  $HA^{n+}$  as  $HA^n$  plus

$$\text{PEM}(\bar{\Pi}_n) := \{A \vee \neg A : A \in \bar{\Pi}_n\}.$$

- $[n]_i$  as  $HA^n$ -provability predicate.
- $[n]_i^+$  as  $HA^{n+}$ -provability predicate.
- $[n]_c$  as  $PA^n$ -provability predicate.

## Observation.

Extensionally,  $HA^n$  and  $HA^{n+}$  are equal.

# $HA^{n+}$ : an extension of $HA^n$

Define  $HA^{n+}$  as  $HA^n$  plus

$$PEM(\bar{\Pi}_n) := \{A \vee \neg A : A \in \bar{\Pi}_n\}.$$

- $[n]_i$  as  $HA^n$ -provability predicate.
- $[n]_i^+$  as  $HA^{n+}$ -provability predicate.
- $[n]_c$  as  $PA^n$ -provability predicate.

## Observation.

Extensionally,  $HA^n$  and  $HA^{n+}$  are equal.

Although this fact is  $PA$  and  $HA^n$ -verifiable,  $HA$  is not able to verify that for  $n > 0$ .

Theorem (F. Pakhomov & M.)

$$PL(HA^{n+}, HA) = iGLH.$$

Theorem (F. Pakhomov & M.)

$$PL(HA^{n+}, HA) = iGLH.$$

This theorem, encourage us to have a big step and consider the  
**Intuitionistic Polymodal Provability Logic.**

## Language:

$\vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \top$  and  $[n]$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

## Polymodal PL:

Let  $([n]A)^*$  interpreted as  $PA^n$ -provability of  $A^*$ .

$$\text{PPL}(\text{PA}) := \{A : \forall * \text{ PA} \vdash A^*\}$$

## Theorem (G. Japaridze)

$\text{PPL}(\text{PA}) = \text{GLP}$ .

- All axioms of Classical Logic
- $[n](A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ([n]A \rightarrow [n]B)$ .
- $[n]([n]A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow [n]A$ .
- $[n]A \rightarrow [n+1]A$ .
- $\neg[n]A \rightarrow [n+1]\neg[n]A$ .
- $(A, A \rightarrow B)/B$ .
- $A/[0]A$ .

## Intuitionistic Polymodal PL:

Let  $([n]A)^*$  interpreted as  $\text{HA}^{n+}$ -provability of  $A^*$ .

$$\text{PPL}(\text{HA}) := \{A : \forall * \text{ HA} \vdash A^*\}$$

## First candidate for $\text{PPL}(\text{HA})$

$$\text{iGLP} + \{[n]A \rightarrow [n]B : \text{AR}_n(\text{iGLP}, \text{Boxed}_n) \vdash A \triangleright B\}$$



- All axioms of **Intuitionistic Logic**.
- $[n](A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow ([n]A \rightarrow [B])$ .
- $[n]([n]A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow [n]A$ .
- $[n]([i]A \vee \neg[i]A)$  for every  $i < n$ .
- $[n]A \rightarrow [n + 1]A$ .
- $A \rightarrow [n + 1]A$  for every  $A = [m]B \rightarrow \bigvee_i [n_i]B_i$  and  $m \leq n$  and  $n_i < n$ .
- $(A, A \rightarrow B)/B$ .
- $A/[0]A$ .

# AR<sub>n</sub>(T, Δ)

Ax:  $A \triangleright B$ , for every  $\top \vdash A \rightarrow B$ .

Le<sub>n</sub>:  $A \triangleright [n]A$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{L}_T$ .

V(Δ):  $B \rightarrow C \triangleright \bigvee_{i=1}^{n+m} B \xrightarrow{\Delta} E_i$ , in which  $B = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (E_i \rightarrow F_i)$   
and  $C = \bigvee_{i=n+1}^{n+m} E_i$ , and  $A \xrightarrow{\Delta} B$  is a notation which is defined as follows:

$$A \xrightarrow{\Delta} B := \begin{cases} B & : B \in \Delta \\ A \rightarrow B & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{A \triangleright B \quad A \triangleright C}{A \triangleright B \wedge C} \text{Conj}$$

$$\frac{B \triangleright A \quad C \triangleright A}{B \vee C \triangleright A} \text{Disj}$$

$$\frac{A \triangleright B \quad B \triangleright C}{A \triangleright C} \text{Cut}$$

$$\frac{A \triangleright B \quad (C \in \Delta)}{C \rightarrow A \triangleright C \rightarrow B} \text{Mont}(\Delta)$$

$$\Delta := \text{Boxed}_n := \{[i]A : i \leq n\} \cup \{\perp\}$$

$$T := \text{iGLP}$$

# Thanks For Your Attention